1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 Troy Healthcare, LLC, a Pennsylvania CASE NO. C11-844-RSM limited liability company, 11 ORDER ON MOTIONS Plaintiff, 12 V. 13 Nutraceutical Corporation, a Delaware corporation, et al., 14 15 Defendants. 16 This matter comes before the Court upon Defendants' Motion to Expedite Briefing 17 Schedule of Motion to Extend Deadline to Respond to Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 18 #28) and Defendants' Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motion for Preliminary 19 Injunction (Dkt. #30). For the reasons set forth below, Defendants' motions are denied. 20 Plaintiff sells over-the-counter topical analgesics under the STOPAIN® mark. 21 Defendants have begun selling an over-the-counter topical analgesic product called DROPAIN. 22 On May 18, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a complaint and motion for temporary restraining order, 23 alleging infringement of Plaintiff's trade dress, trademarks, and false advertising. Dkt. #s 1 & 4.

Case 2:11-cv-00844-RSM Document 32 Filed 05/20/11 Page 2 of 2

The Court determined that Plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and attached 1 2 declarations did not fulfill the requirements for issuance of a temporary restraining order and that 3 it would be premature to grant Plaintiff's motion without allowing Defendants an opportunity to be heard in opposition. Dkt. #23. It thereby converted the motion for temporary restraining 4 5 order to a motion for preliminary injunction and set a hearing for June 2, 2011. Id. It also set forth an expedited briefing schedule. 6 7 Defendants have filed a motion for extension of time to respond to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction. Dkt. #30. They have also filed a motion to expedite the briefing 9 schedule of their motion for an extension of time. Dkt. #28. In accordance with Local Rule 7(d)(2), both motions are noted for Friday, June 3, 2011. There is no mechanism under the Local 10 Rules for the Court to consider either of Defendants' motions prior to the preliminary injunction 11 hearing, scheduled on Thursday, June 2, 2011. Accordingly, the Court cannot grant the relief 12 13 requested. The Court hereby DENIES Defendants' motions. 14 15 Dated May 20, 2011. 16 17 S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23

24